Chapter I I

Electronic transport measurements

- 1. Measurements of the linear conductance (DC)
- 2. Measurement of current-voltage characteristics
- 3. Measurements of differential conductance dI/dV and IETS/PCS (d²I/dV²)
- 4. Thermopower
- 5. Shot Noise

REFERENCES

1) Chapter 5 of the lecture script

Typical Properties of Functional Structures

1. Diode: Au-SAM-Thiol-Au (Nanopore) 4-thioacetatebiphenyl, M. Reed, APL (1997)



3. Reconfigurable Switch: Catanane

J.R. Heath et al., Science (2000)



2. Switch: Nanopore (60 K)



4. Single-electron transistor:

Park et al., Nature (2002).



Reminder : IVs of molecular contacts

Non-linear IVs: Current bias vs. Voltage bias

Resonant case: transport through molecular level

Off resonant case: molecules as tunneling junctions



L. Zotti et al, Small (2010)

Design of the electrical measurement circuit

- What is the property to measure?
- Energy resolution? Which voltage range?
- Absolute & relative amplitude of signal?
- Small relative signal on constant background?
 -> Lock in technique
- Large variations of the background (high dynamic range)
 -> I & V have to be measured
- Which absolute resistance/conductance regime?
- Expected size of voltage signal:

 \sim 1 nV or 1 pA possible with RT electronics (thermal noise), for smaller voltages: SQUID or cold amplifiers

Reminder: IO.I Shot noise

Intrinsic current fluctuations of an electrical resistor:



* Thermal fluctuations:

Johnson $S_I = 4k_BTG$ /Nyquist noise:

* Non-equilibrium fluctuations (shot noise): randomly distributed tunneling of *q* discrete charges.



Typical Measurement Task in Molecular Electronics:



Histogram calculated from >6200 scans

Particular requirements for electronic measurement of atomic and molecular contacts

- Wide range of conductance from $10^{-5} G_0$ (10 G Ω) to few $G_0(k\Omega)$
- Measurement of linear conductance, e.g. for conductance histograms: Correct choice of bias voltage to assure working in the linear regime - difficult because nonlinearities appear on varying voltage scales (µV to V)
- Self-heating of the contacts due to Joule dissipation is difficult to detect & to discriminate from intrinsic properties
- Sudden voltage spikes and jumps may destroy the sample.
- Extreme variation of the differential conductance within small changes of the bias.
- Strongly nonlinear IVs with negative differential resistance NDR or hysteresis -> voltage bias vs. current bias -> hysteresis effects
- Limited lifetime of the junctions.

I I.I Conductance vs. Resistance measurements

Ohm's law – linear conductance



<u>High resistance $R_x > R_s$: (Low conductance $G_x < G_s$)</u> Voltage bias, current measurement – effective conductance measurement <u>Low resistances $R_x < R_s$: (High conductance $G_x > G_s$)</u> Current bias, voltage measurement – effective resistance measurement

Example: IVs of a superconducting atomic contact



Example: IVs of a superconducting atomic contact



Example: IVs of a superconducting atomic contact



I I.2 Nonlinear-Conductance Measurements with high dynamic range & high spectral resolution



After amplification : V -> x-channel, I -> y-channel of oscilloscope or analogue-digital converter

Set-up for measuring IVs of atomic contacts with strongly varying linear and differential conductance (corresponding to differential resistances of $k\Omega$ to $M\Omega$)

V: Bias voltage applied to series of R_s+ R_x
R_s: series resistance, chosen according to expected differential resistance
For R_s < R_x: Voltage bias, for R_s > R_x: effective current bias
Minimum R_s necessary for current limitation
F: Low-pass filters, necessary for achieving high energy resolution

Reminder: 9. I Point contact spectroscopy (PCS) of Pt-H_-Pt junctions R.H.M. Smit, Y. Noat, C. Ontiedt, N.D. Lang, M.C. van Hemert, J.M. van Ruitenbeek, Nature 419, 906 (2002)





Measurement of the conductance of a hydrogen molecule between Pt leads with the break-junction technique

II.3 Scanning probe spectroscopy and Inelastic Electron Tunneling Spectroscopy



J.Wintterlin, Berlin

Courtesy: G. Costantini, MPI Stuttgart

"negative" atoms ?



oxygen / Pt(111)





































surface state Cu(111)



L. Vitali, MPI-FKF

HBC molecules on Au(001)



n-InAs(110)



R. Dombrowski et al., Phys. Rev. B 59, 8043 (1999)

YBCO films



A. Sharoni, et al., Europhys. Lett. 62, 883 (2003)

Imaging of Molecules



- Pentacene on thin layer of NaCl on Cu (111) for decoupling the molecular states from the electronic states of the metal surface (reducing Γ_s)
- Functionalization of tip changes the "active" orbital



Repp et al., PRL 94,026803 (2005)





















V







Elastic tunneling Inelastic Electron Tunneling Spectroscopy





dI/dV ~LDOS

 $d^2I/dV^2 \sim vDOS$

Reminder: 9.1 Signatures of vibrational modes



Measurement of LDOS by STS

1. Order perturbation theory

$$I = \frac{2me}{h} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [f(E_F - eV + \varepsilon) - f(E_F + \varepsilon)] \rho_S(E_F - eV + \varepsilon) \rho_T(E_F + \varepsilon) M_{\mu\nu} d\varepsilon$$

mit $\rho_{T,S}$ Density of states of tip and sample

 $M_{\mu\nu}$ Tunnel matrix element: overlap of wave functions of tip and sample, depends on chemical nature and geometry

Für $M_{\mu\nu} = const.$ and low temperature it follows: $I \propto \int_{0}^{eV} \rho_s (E_F - eV + \varepsilon) \rho_T (E_F + \varepsilon) d\varepsilon$ If $\rho_T = const.$: $\frac{dI}{dV} \propto \rho_S$ Local Density of States (LDOS) of the sample

9.3 Measurement of IV, dI/dV and d²I/dV² of molecular contacts



Source: Y. Kim, A. Karimi, D. Weber

I I.3 Lock-in technique

Purpose: low-noise amplification by phase sensitive detection in a narrow frequency band and cross-correlation (narrow band pass filter)

Main ingredients of a lock-in amplifier:

- -Preamplifier for input signal V_{in}(t)
- -Channel for reference signal (internal or external)
- -Phase shifting unit $(\Delta \phi)$, applies phase between signal and reference
- Mixer (multiplier)
- Low pass for integrating the cross correlation

$$V_{out}(t) = \frac{1}{T} \int_{t-T}^{t} \sin[2\pi f_{ref} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \Delta \phi] V_{in}(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s}$$

Cross correlation: $V_{out}(t)$ non-zero if $f_{in} = f_{ref}$ => frequency sensitive, suppression of noise at other frequencies

For sinusoidal signals: $V_{out}(t) \propto V_{in}(t) \cos(\Delta \phi)$



I I.3 Lock-in Technique for measuring dV/dI and d²V/dI²

Superposition of DC signal and harmonic AC signal : $I = I_0 + I_1 \cos \omega t$



MCBJ at low temperature: Opening Traces and Conductance Histograms



Y. Kim, F. Strigl, H.-F. Pernau, H. Song, T. Lee, E. Scheer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 196804 (2011)

Examples: I-Vs and IETS of HDT@ 4.2 K



Au-HDT-Au: $\Gamma_{L,R} = 120 \text{ meV}, E_0 = 2.35 \text{ eV}$ Pt-HDT-Pt: $\Gamma_{L,R} = 110 \text{ meV}, E_0 = 1.93 \text{ eV}$

Symmetry of IETS spectra



Black/blue: as measured Red: symmetrized signal y = [f(x) - f(-x)]/2

Y. Kim, Th. Hellmuth, M. Bürkle, F. Pauly, E. Scheer, ACS Nano 5, 4104 (2011).

Linewidth broadening of IETS of ODA



Experimental linewidth W_{exp} given by intrinsic linewidth W_{I} , thermal broadening $k_{B}T$ and modulation voltage V_{ac} : $W_{exp} = [(5.4k_{B}T)^{2} + (1.7V_{ac})^{2} + (W_{I})^{2}]^{1/2}$

a) V dependence at fixed T: black line: linear fit ->W_I = 4.9 ± 0.8 meV
 b) T dependence at fixed V_{ac}: black squares: theoretical expectation, red dots: experimental findings
 T = 4K: 5.4k_PT = 1.8meV

Assignment of modes

Vibrational modes of free molecules known from Raman spectroscopy



-> For molecules in junctions: Theory required!

Example HDT/Au

I I.4 Measuring thermopower of metallic contacts (with MCBJ)

Thermopower (Seebeck coefficient) S = $V_{th}/\Delta T$

 $T_{base} = 4.2 \text{ K}$



Typical values $\Delta T \sim 0.1-1K$ $V_{th} \sim nV - \mu V$

-> requires 2 well-calibrated (resistive) thermometers and high-resolution voltage measurement (e.g. SQUID) or switching to same DVM at low T (avoiding thermopower contributions from wiring)

I I.4 Measuring thermopower of moleular contacts with STM

Thermopower (Seebeck coefficient) $S = V_{th}/\Delta T$



K, Baheti, J.A. Malen, P. Doak, P. Reddy, S.-Y. Jang, T. Don Tilley, Arun Majumdar, and R. A. Segalman, Nano Lett. **8**, 715 (2008).

I I.5 Shot noise in atomic contacts

R. Cron, M. Goffman, D. Esteve and C. Urbina, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4104 (2001)



Physical Review



I I.5 Correlation set-up for noise measurements

Problem: Signal of interest S_I is smaller than noise of measurement circuit Solution: Correlation measurement

Current-biasing sample R_D via R_B

Cross-correlation eliminates all uncorrelated voltage noise (from leads and amplifiers)





I I.5 Correlation set-up for noise measurements $S_{V_1V_2}(\nu) = \frac{R_{\parallel}^2}{1 + (2\pi\nu R_{\parallel}C)^2} \qquad \text{where} \\ R_{\parallel} = R_B R_D / (R_B + R_D) \\ \times \left[S_I + S_B + 2\left(1 + \frac{R_L}{R_{\parallel}}\right)S_{Amp}\right]$

Examples of equilibrium Noise spectra V = I = 0



I I.6 Lock-in Technique for measuring dl/dV and shot noise with simple wiring

- Measuring the conductance (opening and closing curves)
- First and second differential conductance
- Measurements of the noise in a rather broad range of conductance values from 0.01 G_0 to 1 G_0



Source: Y. Kim, A. Karimi, D. Weber

Example: Inelastic shot noise



Quantum mechanical scattering & discreteness of charge generate shot noise.





Y. Kim, Univ Konstanz